Privacy by Design in practice using polymorhic encryption and pseudonymisation in medical research



J.Popma@ru.nl 31-05-2017







Today's Menu

- Privacy & Security : where am I?
- Privacy by Design in theory
- And in practice...
- Personalized Parkinson Project a pilot, or maybe not.
- Polymorphic Encryption en Pseudonymisation
- The proof of the pudding.....







Medical research & big data ... What's the big deal?

- Medical data are the most sensitive personal data. Data breach: reputation damage for researchers
 - harmful to participants/patients and -
 - undermining patient's willingness to participate in future research
- Legal requirements are strict
 - More so after GDPR becomes fully operational (May 2018) -
 - high fines / repercussions -
- Loss of confidence is a show-stopper for medical research
- Professional cooperation between computer scientists and medical scientists is essential; amateuristic approaches are no longer acceptable



... Because the law requires it

- Well Defined Purpose
- Proportionality: data minimalisation and data retention
- Transparancy
- Security
- Privacy & Security by design en by default





...But also because it is really important







Intermezzo: Privacy has significance in context (after Helen Nissenbaum)

- Privacy is not an absolute concept, is not the same as secrecy, not exclusively related to data protection, and is not just a personal thing.
- We live in a natural way in different contexts
- We keep information in its context
- Breaking contextual integrity is a shock
- The Googles and Facebooks in this world want to be able to trace us wherever we go, and whatever we do. They make money from breaking our contextual integrity

Mark Zuckerberg: Having two identities for yourself is a lack of integrity





Privacy: legislation

- Constitution (art 10 t/m 13) •
- 1989-2001: Wpr, Personal Registrations Act
- 1995 European Data Protection Directive
- 2001-nu: Wbp Personal Data Protection Act
- 2016 : Wbp completed with a mandatory data breach notification (meldplicht datalekken) and regulatory fines (up to 800 k€)
- 2018 (2016): GDPR, General Data Protection Regulation





Data Breach





2016: 5500 Notifications to Personal Data Authority (PDA)

Meldingen per sector



- Gezondheid en welzijn 29%
- Financiële dienstverlening 17%
- Openbaar bestuur 15%
- Informatie en communicatie 11%
- Overig 10%
- Vervoer 6%
- Onderwijs 4%
- Specialistische zakelijke dienstverlening 3%
- Overige zakelijke dienstverlening 2%
- Energie 2%
- Industrie 1%

Source: Autoriteit Persoonsgegevens



- about 1/3 of data breach incidents reported internally reported to PDA
- Effectiveness of detection of • data breaches (internally)
- Tip of the Iceberg?





World's Biggest Data Breaches Selected losses greater than 30,000 records

... all quiet on the western front ...



http://www.informationisbeautiful.net/visualizations/worlds-biggest-data-breaches-hacks/



interesting sto



https://haveibeenpwned.com/

http://www.informationisbeautiful.net/visualizations/worlds-biggest-data-breaches-hacks/



Data Breach – As-A-Symptom

- Authorizations to broad
- Orphaned data
- Stolen or lost devices
- Copies traveling around
- Combination of different sources
- Identity theft
- Ransomware
- Lack of maintenance
- Hidden tracking/profiling

- Too many data collected
- Data reused for other purposes ullet
- Fraud
- Revenge •
- Spionage •
- Wrongly addressed mails....
- Forgotten print output •
- Active hackers •
- Stupidity



Privacy By Design?

- Data Design Strategies
 - Minimise
 - Separate
 - Aggregate
 - Hide
- Proces Design Strategies
 - Inform
 - Control
 - Enforce
 - Demonstrate



Bron: Jaap-Henk Hoepman @ https://arxiv.org/pdf/1210.6621.pdf



PARKINSON OP MAAT nderzoek naar precies de juiste zorg voor u

Personalized Parkinson's Project aims at profiling patients at an early stage of the disease in order to provide more effective personalized care and treatment.







Personalised Parkinson's Project

650 participants suffering from Parkinson's •

Radboudumc university medical center

- 2 year study
- Data will be available to scientist at dutch UMC's and other research institutes (worldwide) •
 - Clinical data (questionnaires, tests
 - Biochemical data (from blood, plasma, CSF)
 - Wearable data (2 years)
 - ECG
 - fMRI
 - Genome
 - Biome

Study Total: > 1 PB of data









Personalized Parkinson's Project Radboudumc



Research project of Radboudumc, largely sponsored by Verily (also actively contributing to analysis and research. Verily is a medical research company, a subsidiary of Alphabet).

Research data are stored in a Research Data Repository and are protected and managed by PEP technology, developed by the Digital Security department of Radboud University.









Position Digital Security group









• Enabling autonomy and independence from Alphabet/Verily





Research Data Repository

2 main functions : share data, and ensuring scientific integrity







Challenges

• Medical research data are very sensitive personal data

Challenge 1:

Protect the privacy and personal data of participants

Challenge 2:

Enable the use of data collected for legitimate research (effectiveness)

Challenge 3:

All data sources have different architectures, procedures and use restrictions

• Legal requirements are clear

Purpose is to keep data in a predefined context, in a transparent Purpose is to prevent illegitimate use of the data.









PEP: Data Protection & Pseudonymisation

- PEP = Polymorphic Encryption en Pseudonymisation (<u>http://pep.cs.ru.nl</u>)
- Innovative encryption method developed at Radboud University by prof. Eric Verheul, prof. Bart Jacobs and the PEP team.
- Very suitable for use in large scale medical research
 - Data from may different sources: the patient, hospital labs, partner labs etc.
 - All data are stored encrypted in the data-repository
 - Encryption takes place as close as possible to the source of the data.
 - Encryption and Pseudonymisation can be applied in a very flexible way in a research.
- PEP infrastructure takes care of the data management



e data. Ible way in a





PEP use

- Encryption close to the source (future: within the source)
- Upload of data to the repository in encrypted form only
- Download from repository in encrypted form only
- Use of repository data bound to restrictions (security and data-handling must comply with legal and technical requirements)
- After data analysis data can be deleted in the processing environment (historical queries will be possible during the entire project including its archival phase))
- Multiple parties involved (no single systems- or data management party can decrypt data)
- Independent logging and audit possible.







Waar does PEP stand for?

- Traditional Encryption:
 - shared secret (one key)
 - or public/private key (at the time of encryption you must know who is allowed to decrypt)
- **PEP**:
 - Polymorphic encryption close to the data source
 - Unique keys for different data and different users can be generated a posteriori, at the time access is granted.
 - All data streams are based on unique pseudonyms
 - Unique Pseudonyms are generated for each user (user group) obtaining data from the repository.







Distributed management of cryptographic keys





- User has client Software (encryption/decryption, up/download)
- 3 trusted parties take care of key management. Developers have no knowledge of keys.
- Keys in Hardware Security Modules (tamperproof)
- Storage Facility can be anything (public cloud)





Pseudonymisation during data collection phase



All datastreams based on unique pseudonyms

ullet

●

At the time of upload these pseudonyms are translated to a polymorphic pseudonym, so data from different sources can be linked.

Same idea for data from other sources (wearables, MRI etc.)



Pseudonymisation for data use

- Research project requests data of certain types regarding subjects that meet certain criteria
- Request is evaluated by a committee
- In case of positive decision:
 - Data type I, III, IX of subjects PPseu3 PPseu9 PPseu125 PPseuN are authorized to User
 - User requests data from PEP
- User gets:
 - Access (download) to data where
 - Pseudonyms are personalized to user,
 - A unique key is constructed to decrypt these data
- In the user's authorized processing environment
 - Data are decrypted for further processing and analysis
 - Derived data can be encrypted and uploaded back to the repository







Privacy By Design revisited

- Data Design Strategies
 - Minimise $\sqrt{}$
 - Separate \checkmark
 - Aggregate X
 - Hide $\sqrt{}$
- Proces Design Strategies
 - Inform $\sqrt{}$
 - Control $\sqrt{}$
 - Enforce \checkmark
 - Demonstrate $\sqrt{}$

Bron: Jaap-Henk Hoepman @ https://arxiv.org/pdf/1210.621.pdf



- Authorizations to broad
- Orphaned data •
- Stolen or lost devices
- Copies traveling around
- Combination of different sources
- Identity theft
- Ransomware
- Lack of maintenance
- Hidden tracking/profiling

- Too many data collected
- Data reused for other purposes ullet
- Fraud
- Revenge •
- Spionage •
- Wrongly addressed mails....
- Forgotten print output •
- Active hackers •
- Stupidity



- Authorizations to broad
- Orphaned data •
- Stolen or lost devices •
- Copies traveling around •
- Combination of different sources
- Identity theft
- Ransomware
- Lack of maintenance
- Hidden tracking/profiling •

- Too many data collected
- Data re-used for other purposes ٠
- Fraud
- Revenge ●
- Spionage •
- Wrongly addressed mails....
- Forgotten print output •
- Active hackers •
- Stupidity \bullet



- Authorizations to broad
- Orphaned data •
- Stolen or lost devices •
- Copies traveling around •
- Combination of different sources
- Identity theft •
- Ransomware
- Lack of maintenance
- Hidden tracking/profiling •

- Too many data collected
- Data re-used for other purposes ٠
- Fraud
- Revenge •
- Spionage •
- Wrongly addressed mails....
- Forgotten print output •
- Active hackers •
- Stupidity \bullet



- Authorizations to broad
- Orphaned data •
- Stolen or lost devices •
- Copies traveling around •
- Combination of different sources
- Identity theft
- Ransomware
- Lack of maintenance •
- Hidden tracking/profiling

- Too many data collected
- Data re-used for other purposes •
- Fraud
- Revenge •
- Spionage •
- Wrongly addressed mails....
- Forgotten print output •
- Active hackers •
- Stupidity •





Summary/Results

- PEP is conditional for privacy-friendly data processing
 - PEP approach is **state-of-the-art** cryptography
 - based on: security-by-design en privacy-by-design -
 - Design and software will be published as **open source** -
- **integration** in medical context is a big challenge •
- But risks are not reduced to 0 ...
 - Data can be self-identifying (video/photo material, genome data etc.) -
 - Conspiring scientists can link data based on content. -
- First production version expected for July 2017. In Q1 2018 full functionality available







Conclusions

- Strong security & privacy protection are a *licence to operate* in medical (big data) • research
- This Parkinson's study aims at a breakthrough, both on medical science and • computer science challenges.
 - Unique cooperation
 - High impact -
- Big Data, Cloud & Privacy can be combined if Privacy & Security are part of the design.
- With this pilot the technology can be put to the test and new areas of use can be • explored.









More? <u>http://pep.cs.ru.nl</u>





